| | s |
|-----------|---|
| File With | |
| | |
| | |

SECTION 131 FORM

| Appeal No ABP-314465-22 | Defer Re O/H | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| and Development Act, 2000 be not be invoke | recommend that section 131 of the Planning | | |
| Section 131 not to be invoked at this stage. | M | | |
| Section 131 to be invoked — allow 2/4 weeks | s for reply. | | |
| Signed | Date 25 / 9 24 | | |
| Signed | Date | | |
| SEO/SAO | | | |
| | | | |
| M | | | |
| Please prepare BP —— Section 131 notice enclosing a copy of the attached submission. | | | |
| To Task No | Allow 2/3/4 weeks | | |
| | BP | | |
| Signed | Date | | |
| | | | |
| EO Signad | | | |
| Andrew Dogs | Date A 7 / 0 0 / 0 1 | | |
| AA | 27/09/24 | | |





Date

Planning Appeal Online Observation

Online Reference NPA-OBS-003829

| BECHOURING COME COME COME DE LA COME DELA COME DE LA COME DELA COME DE LA COM | | |
|--|--|---|
| Online Observation Details | | |
| Contact Name Eoin Keary | Lodgement Date 25/09/2024 16:54 | Case Number / Description :26 314485 |
| Payment Details | | |
| Payment Method Online Payment | Cardholder Name Eoin Keary | Payment Amount €50.00 |
| Processing Section | | |
| s.131 Consideration Required Yes — See attached 13 | 1 Form | N/A — Invalid |
| Signed | | Date 26 9 24 |
| Fee Refund Requisition Please Arrange a Refund of Fee of | | Lod genent No LDG— 0 75\0 8 - Jh |
| €50 | | LDG 0 75 10 3 - JA V |
| Documents Returned to Observer Yes | parts | Request Emailed to Senior Executive Officer for Approval Yes No |
| Signed | | 26 9 24 |
| Finance Section | ommonista and the control of the con | |
| Payment Reference | | Checked Against Fee Income Online |
| ch_3Q2xXqB1CW0EN5FC1hC3 | BMkD5 | |
| | | EO/AA (Accounts Section) Refund Date |
| Amount | | Neturio Date |
| Authorised By (1) | | Authorised By (2) |
| Additionsed by (1) | | |
| SEO (Finance) | | Chief Officer/Director of Corporate Affairs/SAO/Board Member |
| Date | | Date |

An Bord Pleanála 64 Marlborough St. Dublin 1 D01 V902 02/04/2024

RE: Case Number PL06F.314485 Relevant Action Application Dublin Airport

Bord Pleanála Case reference: PL06F.314485 Planning Authority Case Reference: F20A/0668

Dear Sir/Madam

Further to your correspondence to us on the above case we wish to make the following observations/submissions:

- 1. Since the opening of the north runway the town of Ratoath suffers from continuous noise between 60dB and 75dB every day from 7:00 to 23:00. As a resident of Ratoath for nearly 20 years this disturbance has negatively affected my (an others) mental health due to in excess of 100+ flights over the town from 7:00 to 23:00 every day.
- 2. My family are woken up 7 days a week between 6:30 and 7:00 am every day. During the summer we could not enjoy our garden or the outdoors due to 65-75dB flights overhead every 4 minutes (during busy periods, morning, lunchtime and evening).
- 3. I am on the verge of selling up and moving to away from the area at great expense which includes uprooting my children's lives, school and our own social network.
- 4. In the 432-page report, ABP's Planning Inspector clarifies that "the flight patterns submitted in the applicant's supplementary information [...] differ from those submitted in the original EIS for the North Runway application." This is a very important clarification for the 30,000 people unnecessarily suffering the effects of aircraft noise.
- 5. DAA claims their decision not to align the north runway flight paths with the Noise Preferential Route was made at the instruction of the IAA for "safety reasons".
- 6. The IAA have explained to me in writing that they receive flight paths from the DAA and review and approve the path in terms of safety and compliance only.
- 7. I am pleading to ABP to make it a condition of granting planning permission, that the flight paths should be designed such as not to fly directly over 10,000 people in Ratoath 100+ times a day. This can certainly be done according to many aviation experts.
- 8. Attached is also a link to a petition (1000+ signatures) by Ratoath residence regarding noisy flights https://chng.it/PYwJCqZmqL

- 9. My dwelling has triple glazed windows and we are still woken every day at 6:30 by flights over the town of Ratoath. This is simply not right.
- 10. In summary planning is an afterthought for DAA. Their actions show that they do not respect planning legislation or decisions of An Bord Pleanála. This application must include conditions relating to current flight paths not flying over Ratoath and its 10,000 citizens.

Yours Sincerely,

Eoin Keary

Sign: ___ Date: 25/09/2024

Address: 10 Fairy house Lodge, Ratoath, CO Meath, A85ND82

Examples of flights over Ratoath on a continuous basis.

Includes only flights exceeding 65dB over a period of a few days. More data can be seen on the DAA Webtrak application https://webtrak.emsbk.com/dub1

August

To provide some context below is a sample of noise pollution from August (from 6:54 AM to 11:37 AM 14/8/2024) based on DAA noise monitoring station deployed in the town of Ratoath.

The noise highlighted RED signifies noise levels above 65dB.

RSVP

Eoin Keary

14/08/2024

6:54 59

7:01 54

7:04 63

7:11 60

7:19 66

7:**23** 65

7:34 54

7:42 65

7:43 66

7:4<mark>7</mark> 66 7:49 65

7:53 71

8:04 70

8:06 67

8:11 64

8:12 64

8:17 65

8:21 64

8:23 65

8:26 61

8:27 65

8:30 65

8:52 66

9:13 65

9:18 64

9:30 64

9:43 67

9:51 66

10:19 67 10:22 64

10:42 69

10:57 64

11:11 58

11:13 66

11:18 63

11:13 64

11:29 69

Examples of noise pollution over Ratoath 19/08/2024 based on DAA Noise monitoring equipment 1 Hour from 14:24 to 15:19
RED highlighted over 65dB
Levels as high as 80dB over the town,

14:24 65

14:28 60

14:29 64

14:36 64

14:40 65

14:41 65

14:44 67

14:45 61

14:46 61

15:56 62

14:58 70

15:02 80

15:14 67

15:16 69

15:17 66

15:19 63

Samples of noise pollution from 20/8 over Ratoath. from 6:53 to 7:52 59 minutes.
21 movements
One pre 7:00 AM at 66dB
13 above 65dB = 61%

6:53 66

6:57 59

7:04 63

7:09 58

7:16 66

7:17 66

7:19 65

7:21 53

7:26 58

7:27 66

7:29 65

7:31 66

7:35 65

7:37 59

7:39 67 7:41 58 7:42 66 7:44 71 7:48 55 7:49 67 7:52 65

An example of flights over Ratoath from a 2 hour window 26/8/2024: 18 movements, 12 above 65dB - 66%

All based on the DAA noise monitoring equipment in situ at Ratoath village.

10:41 65 10:43 66 10:48 66 10:50 65 10:58 65 11:09 61 11:13 64 11:14 64 11:20 61 11:24 65 11:34 66 11:53 67 11:41 64 11:52 68 12:03 68 12:09 67 12:22 68 12:47 63

Noise pollution 27/8/2024 for 1 hour 7:32 to 8:26 14 movements - 1 every 4 mins!!! 10 of which are above 65dB one at 72dB - 71%

7:32 65 7:33 55 7:41 65 7:42 65 7:49 65 7:51 62 7:56 72 8:02 66 8:17 69 8:19 62 8:22 65 8:25 64

8:26 66

Some more sample data from 27/8/2014 9:52 to 12:06 - 1hr 14 mins 18 aircraft movements - 1 every 4 mins on average 10 above 65dB - 55%, One exceeding 72dB!

9:52 65

9:54 60

10:09 57

10:16 61

10:18 61

10:25 66

10:30 47

10:37 68

10:39 56 10:52 67

11:03 61

11.13 65

11:14 65

11:36 66

11:39 57

11:44 72

11:53 55

11.56 67

12:06 65

Sample from 29/8/2024 - 10:20 - 11.19 - 50 minutes 12 Aircraft over Ratoath one every 4 minutes. 58% noise pollution at 65dB+

10:20 67

10:22 68

10:30 65

10:39 70

10:43 63

10:50 61

10:51 66

10:54 58

11:06 61

11:09 71 11:12 61

11:19 66

A Sample of noise pollution for 1 hour 7:08 to 8:08 30/8/2024 21 aircraft movements - 1 every 3 minutes on average

Only 4 below 65dB 17 aircraft with noise pollution of 65dB+ = 80% 3 aircraft of 70dB or above.

30/8

7:08 66

7:09 67

7:12 70

7:15 66

7:16 66

7:17 65 7:22 68

7:23 59

7:25 65

7:28 68

7:31 66

7:38 63

7:41 62

7:42 66

7:46 72

7:48 65

7:49 66

7:56 73

7:58 59

8:01 65

8:**04** 58